

United States Patent and Trademark Office

 \mathcal{M}

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/539,266	03/30/2000	Vipin Samar	OR99-17401	8991
22835	7590 07/15/2004		EXAMINER	
PARK, VAI	JGHAN & FLEMING	ENGLAND, DAVID E		
508 SECONE	STREET			
SUITE 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAVIS, CA	95616		2143	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/539,266	SAMAR, VIPIN				
,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David E. England	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re- ch places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THINGS OF THINGS OF THE ON WHICH THE PROPERTY OF THE ON WHICH THE PROPERTY OF THE ONE OF	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ 136(a) and the appropriate tee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,13-22,25-33</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	(5)(1 10 1115) 1 upol 110(5).					
TO.L. Outon		DAVID WIKEY)			
BEST AVAILABLE	-91 IV C. IT V	SORY PATENT EXAM MOLOGY CENTER 21	IINER 00			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly added limitations of, "wherein the state information includes an agreed upon encryption key used to encrypt communications between the client and the second server", "using the state information including the encryption key to use the active secure communication session established between the client and the second server for subsequent communications", found in the independent claims, would require further search and consideration.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER TECHNULUGY CENTER 2100